### Sound, Mixtures, and Learning

Dan Ellis <dpwe@ee.columbia.edu>

Laboratory for Recognition and Organization of Speech and Audio (LabROSA) Electrical Engineering, Columbia University http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/

#### Outline

- Auditory Scene Analysis
- 2 Speech Recognition & Mixtures
- **3** Fragment Recognition
- Alarm Sound Detection
- 5 Future Work







- Auditory Scene Analysis: describing a complex sound in terms of high-level sources/events
  - ... like listeners do
- Hearing is ecologically grounded
  - reflects 'natural scene' properties
  - subjective, not absolute







- Sound
  - carries useful information about the world
  - complements vision
- Mixtures
  - .. are the rule, not the exception
  - medium is 'transparent', sources are many
  - must be handled!
- Learning
  - the 'speech recognition' lesson: let the data do the work
  - like listeners





# The problem with recognizing mixtures



"Imagine two narrow channels dug up from the edge of a lake, with handkerchiefs stretched across each one. Looking only at the motion of the handkerchiefs, you are to answer questions such as: How many boats are there on the lake and where are they?" (after Bregman'90)

#### • Received waveform is a mixture

- two sensors, N signals ... underconstrained
- Disentangling mixtures as the primary goal?
  - perfect solution is not possible
  - need experience-based constraints





### Human Auditory Scene Analysis (Bregman 1990)

- How do people analyze sound mixtures?
  - break mixture into small *elements* (in time-freq)
  - elements are *grouped* in to sources using *cues*
  - sources have aggregate *attributes*
- Grouping 'rules' (Darwin, Carlyon, ...):
  - cues: common onset/offset/modulation, harmonicity, spatial location, ...





# **Cues to simultaneous grouping**



### Elements + attributes

#### **Common onset**

- simultaneous energy has common source
- Periodicity ۲
  - energy in different bands with same cycle
- Other cues •
  - spatial (ITD/IID), familiarity, ...





# The effect of context

- Context can create an 'expectation': i.e. a bias towards a particular interpretation
- e.g. Bregman's "old-plus-new" principle: A change in a signal will be interpreted as an added source whenever possible



- a different division of the same energy depending on what preceded it





### Computational Auditory Scene Analysis (CASA)



- Goal: Automatic sound organization ; Systems to 'pick out' sounds in a mixture
  - ... like people do

#### • E.g. voice against a noisy background

- to improve speech recognition
- Approach:
  - psychoacoustics describes grouping 'rules'
  - ... just implement them?





# **The Representational Approach**

(Brown & Cooke 1993)

• Implement psychoacoustic theory



- 'bottom-up' processing
- uses common onset & periodicity cues
- Able to extract voiced speech:



# **Restoration in sound perception**

- Auditory 'illusions' = hearing what's not there
- The continuity illusion



• SWS



- duplex perception
- How to model in CASA?





### Adding top-down constraints

Perception is not *direct* but a *search* for *plausible hypotheses* 

• Data-driven (bottom-up)...



- objects irresistibly appear

### vs. Prediction-driven (top-down)



- match observations with parameters of a world-model
- need world-model constraints...





### Approaches to sound mixture recognition

- Recognize combined signal
  - 'multicondition training'
  - combinatorics..
- Separate signals
  - e.g. CASA, ICA
  - nice, if you can do it

#### • Segregate features into fragments

- then missing-data recognition



# **Aside: Evaluation**

- Evaluation is a big problem for CASA
  - what is the goal, really?
  - what is a good test domain?
  - how do you measure performance?

#### • SNR improvement

- not easy given only before-after signals: correspondence problem
- can do with fixed filtering mask; rewards removing signal as well as noise

#### • ASR improvement

- recognizers typically very sensitive to artefacts
- 'Real' task?
  - mixture corpus with specific sound events...





# **Outline**





### 2 Speech Recognition & Mixtures

- standard ASR
- approaches to speech + noise
- **Fragment Recognition** (3)
- **Alarm Sound Detection** 4
- **Future Work** (5)





# 2 Speech recognition & mixtures

 Speech recognizers are the most successful and sophisticated acoustic recognizers to date



- 'State of the art' word-error rates (WERs):
  - 2% (dictation) 30% (phone conv'ns)





# Learning acoustic models

• Goal: describe p(X|M) with e.g. GMMs



- Separate models for each class
  - generalization as blurring
- Training data labels from:
  - manual annotation
  - 'best path' from earlier classifier (Viterbi)
  - EM: joint estimation of labels & pdfs





# **Speech + noise mixture recognition**

- Background noise is biggest (?) problem facing current ASR
- Feature invariance approach: Design features to reflect only speech
  - e.g. normalization, mean subtraction
- Ideally, models of clean speech will match speech in noise
  - .. although training on noisy examples can't hurt
- Static noise is relatively easy
  - but: non-static noise?
- Alternative: More complex models of the signal
  - separate models for speech and 'rest'





# HMM decomposition

(e.g. Varga & Moore 1991, Roweis 2000)

• Total signal model has independent state sequences for 2+ component sources



- New combined state space  $q' = \{q_1 q_2\}$ 
  - new observation pdfs for each combination

$$p(X|q_{1}, q_{2})$$





### **Problems with HMM decomposition**

- $O(q_k)^N$  is exponentially large...
- Feature *normalization* no longer holds!
  - each source has a different gain
    - → model at various SNRs?
  - models typically don't use overall energy  $C_0$
  - each source has a different *channel* H[k]
- Modeling every possible sub-state combination is inefficient, inelegant and impractical





# Outline

- 1 Auditory Scene Analysis
- 2 Speech Recognition & Mixtures

### **3** Fragment Recognition

- separating signals vs. separating features
- missing data recognition
- recognizing multiple sources
- Alarm Sound Detection
- 5 Future Work





# 3

# **Fragment Recognition**

(Jon Barker & Martin Cooke, Sheffield)

- *Signal* separation is too hard! Instead:
  - segregate *features* into partially-observed sources
  - then classify
- Made possible by 'missing data' recognition
  - integrate over uncertainty in observations for optimal posterior distribution
- Goal:

# Relating clean speech models *P*(*X*|*M*) to speech + noise mixture observations

- .. and making it tractable





# **Comparing different segregations**

• Standard classification chooses between models *M* to match source features *X* 

$$M^* = \underset{M}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(M|X) = \underset{M}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(X|M) \cdot \frac{P(M)}{P(X)}$$

 Mixtures → observed features Y, segregation S, all related by P(X|Y, S)



- spectral features allow clean relationship
- Joint classification of model and segregation:

$$P(M, S|Y) = P(M) \int P(X|M) \cdot \frac{P(X|Y, S)}{P(X)} dX \cdot P(S|Y)$$

- integral collapses in several cases...





-(-)

# **Calculating fragment matches**

 $P(M, S|Y) = P(M) \int P(X|M) \cdot \frac{P(X|Y, S)}{P(X)} dX \cdot \frac{P(S|Y)}{P(X)}$ 

- *P*(*X*|*M*) the clean-signal feature model
- P(X|Y,S)/P(X) is X 'visible' given segregation?
- Integration collapses some channels...
- P(S|Y) segregation inferred from observation
  - just assume uniform, find S for most likely M
  - use extra information in *Y* to distinguish *S*'s e.g. harmonicity, onset grouping
- Result:
  - probabilistically-correct relation between clean-source models P(X|M)and inferred contributory source P(M,S|Y)





# Speech fragment decoder results

- Simple *P*(*S*|*Y*) model forces contiguous regions to stay together
  - big efficiency gain when searching *S* space



• Clean-models-based recognition rivals trained-in-noise recognition







- Mutually-dependent data masks
- Use e.g. CASA features to propose masks
  - locally coherent regions
- Theoretical vs. practical limits





# Outline

- **1** Auditory Scene Analysis
- **2** Speech Recognition & Mixtures
- **3** Fragment Recognition

### 4 Alarm Sound Detection

- sound
- mixtures
- learning









- Why investigate alarm sounds?
  - they're supposed to be easy
  - potential applications...
- Contrast two systems:
  - standard, global features, P(X|M)
  - sinusoidal model, fragments, P(M,S|Y)





# **Alarms: Sound (representation)**

- Standard system: Mel Cepstra
  - have to model alarms in noise context: each cepstral element depends on whole signal

#### • Contrast system: Sinusoid groups

- exploit sparse, stable nature of alarm sounds
- 2D-filter spectrogram to enhance harmonics
- simple magnitude threshold, track growing
- form groups based on common onset



- Sinusoid representation is already *fragmentary* 
  - does not record non-peak energies





# **Alarms: Mixtures**

- Effect of varying SNR on representations:
  - sinusoid peaks have ~ invariant properties







# **Alarms: Learning**

### • Standard: train MLP on noisy examples



#### • Alternate: learn distributions of group features

- duration, frequency deviation, amp. modulation...









• Both systems commit many insertions at 0dB SNR, but in different circumstances:

Noise	Neural net system			Sinusoid model system		
	Del	Ins	Tot	Del	Ins	Tot
1 (amb)	7 / 25	2	36%	14 / 25	1	60%
2 (bab)	5 / 25	63	272%	15 / 25	2	68%
3 (spe)	2 / 25	68	280%	12 / 25	9	84%
4 (mus)	8 / 25	37	180%	9 / 25	135	576%
Overall	22 / 100	170	192%	50 / 100	147	197%





# **Alarms: Summary**

#### • Sinusoid domain

- feature components belong to 1 source
- simple 'segregation' (grouping) model
- alarm model as properties of group
- robust to partial feature observation

#### • Future improvements

- more complex alarm class models
- exploit repetitive structure of alarms





# Outline

- **1** Auditory Scene Analysis
- **2** Speech Recognition & Mixtures
- **3** Fragment Recognition
- 4 Alarm Sound Detection

### 5 Future Work

- generative models & inference
- model acquisition
- ambulatory audio





### **Future work**

5

• CASA as generative model parameterization:



# Learning source models

- The speech recognition lesson: Use the data as much as possible
  - what can we do with unlimited data feeds?

#### • Data sources

- clean data corpora
- identify near-clean segments in real sound
- build up 'clean' views from partial observations?

#### • Model types

- templates
- parametric/constraint models
- HMMs
- Hierarchic classification vs. individual characterization...





# **Personal Audio Applications**

- Smart PDA records everything
- Only useful if we have index, summaries
  - monitor for particular sounds
  - real-time description
- Scenarios



- personal listener  $\rightarrow$  summary of your day
- future prosthetic hearing device
- autonomous robots
- Meeting data, ambulatory audio





# Summary

### • Sound

- carries important information
- Mixtures
  - need to segregate different source properties
  - fragment-based recognition
- Learning
  - information extracted by classification
  - models guide segregation
- Alarm sounds
  - simple example of fragment recognition
- General sounds
  - recognize simultaneous components
  - acquire classes from training data
  - build index, summary of real-world sound



