# Using Source Models in Speech Separation

#### Dan Ellis

Laboratory for Recognition and Organization of Speech and Audio Dept. Electrical Eng., Columbia Univ., NY USA

dpwe@ee.columbia.edu

http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/

- I. Mixtures, Separation, and Models
- 2. Monaural Speech Separation
- 3. Binaural Speech Separation
- 4. Conclusions





## LabROSA Overview





2007-11-09 - 2/17



## I. Mixtures, Separation, and Models

- Sounds rarely occur in isolation
  - •...so analyzing mixtures is a problem
  - .. for humans and machines



COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Laboratory for the Recognition and Organization of Speech and Audio

## Mixture Organization Scenarios

- Interactive voice systems
   human-level understanding is expected
- Speech prostheses

• crowds: #1 complaint of hearing aid users

- Archive analysis
  - identifying and isolating sound events



Unmixing/remixing/enhancement...



2007-11-09 - 4/17



## Separation vs. Inference

- Ideal separation is rarely possible
   many situations where overlaps cannot be removed
- Overlaps → Ambiguity
   o scene analysis = find "most reasonable" explanation
- Ambiguity can be expressed probabilistically
   i.e. posteriors of sources {S<sub>i</sub>} given observations X:

 $\begin{array}{l} P(\{S_i\} \mid X) \propto P(X \mid \{S_i\}) & P(\{S_i\}) \\ \hline \\ combination \ physics \ source \ models \end{array}$ 

• search over  $\{S_i\}$ ??

• Better source models  $\rightarrow$  better inference

•.. learn from examples?



2007-11-09 - 5/17



## Approaches to Separation

#### ICA

- Multi-channel
- Fixed filtering
- Perfect separation
   maybe!



- Single-channel
- Time-var. filter
- Approximate separation



- Any domain
- Param. search
- Synthetic output







#### • or combinations ...



2007-11-09 - 6/17



## **EM for Model-based Separation**

- Expectation-Maximization algorithm

   for solving partially-unknown problems
   (only local optimality guaranteed)
- EM for model-based separation
  - **E-step:** find distribution of unknowns p(u)given current model parameters  $\Theta$ and observations x
  - M-step: optimize  $\Theta$ to maximize fit to *x* given current p(u)

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{\textit{E-step}} \\ p(u|\Theta^{(n)}) = p(x,u|\Theta^{(n)})/p(x|\Theta^{(n)}) \\ \hline \textbf{\textit{M-step}} \\ \Theta^{(n+1)} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\Theta} \ E_{p(u|\Theta^{(n)})}p(x,u|\Theta) \end{array} \end{array}$$

*u* is... GMM mixture assignment ... T-F cell dominance

... current phone of voice i



2007-11-09 - 7/17



## What is a Source Model?

Source Model describes signal behavior
 encapsulates constraints on form of signal
 (any such constraint can be seen as a model...)

## • A model has parameters

o model + parameters
 → instance



- What is *not* a source model?
  - detail not provided in instance

     e.g. using phase from original mixture
     constraints on interaction between sources
    - e.g. independence, clustering attributes



Source Models in Separation - Dan Ellis

2007-11-09 - 8/17



## 2. Monaural Speech Separation

#### • Cooke & Lee's Speech Separation Challenge

short, grammatically-constrained utterances:
 <command:4><color:4><preposition:4><letter:25><number:10><adverb:4></letter:25>
 e.g. "bin white by R 8 again"

- task: report letter + number for "white"
- special session at Interspeech '06

#### • Separation or **Description**?



## **Codebook Models**

- Roweis '01, '03 Given models for sources, Kristjannson '04, '06 find "best" (most likely) states for spectra:  $p(\mathbf{x}|i_1, i_2) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{c}_{i1} + \mathbf{c}_{i2}, \Sigma)$  model model  $\{i_1(t), i_2(t)\} = argmax_{i_1, i_2}p(\mathbf{x}(t)|i_1, i_2)$  inference of source state • can include sequential constraints...
  - different domains for combining  ${f c}$  and defining  $\Sigma$
- E.g. stationary noise:

Lab



**COLUMBIA** UNIVERSITY

#### Speech Recognition Models Kristjansson, Hershey et al. '06

- Decode with Factorial HMM
  - i.e. two state sequences, one model for each voice
  - exploit sequence constraints, speaker differences?



IBM "superhuman" Iroquois system
 fewer errors than people for same speaker, level

• exploit grammar constraints - higher-level dynamics



## Speaker-Adapted (SA) Models Weiss & Ellis '07 Factorial HMM needs distinct speakers



• use "eigenvoice" speaker space

- iterate estimating voice & separating speech
- performs midway between speaker-independent (SI) and speaker-dependent (SD)

SA

**COLUMBIA** UNIVERSITY



Laboratory for the Recognition and Organization of Speech and Audio

## 3. Binaural Speech Separation

## 2 or 3 sources in reverberation o assume just 2 'ears'



• Tasks:

• identify positions of sources (and number?)

• recover source signals

Lab ROSA

Source Models in Separation - Dan Ellis

2007-11-09 - 13/17



#### Spatial Estimation in Reverb Mandel & Ellis '07

 Model interaural spectrum of each source as stationary level and time differences:

$$\frac{L(\omega,t)}{R(\omega,t)} = \frac{a(\omega)e^{j\omega\tau}N(\omega,t)}{R(\omega,t)}$$

• converge via EM to  $a(), \tau$  for each source • mask is  $Pr(X(t,\omega)$  dominated by source i)



## **Spatial Estimation Results**

 Modeling uncertainty improves results • tradeoff between constraints & noisiness



**EM+ILD** 



EM+1ILD (tied means)



DUET



Ground Truth





2007-11-09 - 15/17



## Combining Spatial + Speech Model

- Interaural parameters give  $ILD_i(\omega), ITD_i, Pr(X(t, \omega) = S_i(t, \omega))$
- Speech source model can give  $Pr(S_i(t, \omega) \text{ is speech signal})$
- Can combine into one big EM framework...



**COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY** 

Laboratory for the Recognition and Organization of Speech and Audio

## Summary & Conclusions

- Inferring model parameters is very general
   .. and very difficult, in general
- Speech models can separate single channels
   o .. better match to individual → better results
- Spatial cues can separate binaural signals
   ... but account for uncertainty from e.g. reverb
- EM-type approach can integrate them both





2007-11-09 - 17/17

